

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/541,317	11/29/2005	Hakon Sagberg	2800-129	8078
6449 75	90 11/28/2006		EXAMINER	
ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C.			ASSAF, FAYEZ G	
1425 K STREE SUITE 800	T, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005		2872	
			DATE MAILED: 11/28/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/541,317	0/541,317 SAGBERG ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner		
	Fayez G. Assaf	2872	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply			ldress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period version or period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a rep will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH , cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ly be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this on the MDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 O	ctober 2006		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		s, prosecution as to the	e merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E			
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5 and 6</u> is/are rejected.			
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4 and 7</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application Papers	. ~		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b)□ objected to by	the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyanc	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	,	·	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form P1	ГО-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Ap	olication No	
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	eceived in this National	Stage
application from the International Bureau	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not re	eceived.	
Attachment(s)	" 		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Su Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Info	ormal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/24/2006</u> .	6)		

Art Unit: 2872

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 2 is objected to because it lacks a period at the end of the end.

Appropriate correction is required.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after allowance or after an Office action under Ex Parte Quayle, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213 (Comm'r Pat. 1935). Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/24/2006 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 2872

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sweatt et al. (US 2002/0105725 A1).

Regarding claims 1 and 6, Sweatt discloses a configurable diffractive optical lens (Fig. 9) comprising an array of diffractive sub-elements having a reflective surface (52's of Fig. 9), wherein each sub-element has a controllable position with a chosen range, and in which a number of sub-elements are provided with a reflective grating with a number of predetermined spectral characteristics (See paragraph [0071]).

Regarding claim 2, Sweatt discloses the physical size of the sub-element being provided with a diffractive grating is substantially larger than the spatial period (d1 of Fig. 9C) of the diffractive grating on said sub-element.

Regarding claims 3 and 5, Sweatt discloses the position of each sub-element being adjustable in a direction perpendicular to the element surface (see paragraphs [0071] and [0072]).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4 and 7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in

Art Unit: 2872

independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 4 is allowable over the prior art for at least the reason that the prior art fails to teach or reasonably suggest the position of each sub-element being adjustable in a direction parallel to the element surface (i.e. lateral) as set forth in the claimed combination.

Claim 7 is allowable over the prior art for at least the reason that the prior art fails to teach or reasonably suggest the array of sub-elements being a two-dimensional array as set forth in the claimed combination.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fayez G. Assaf whose telephone number is (571) 272-2307. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-2312. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2872

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Fayez G. Assaf Primary Examiner Art Unit 2872 Page 5

11/27/2006